

## SUPREME COURT OF YUKON

---

### PRACTICE DIRECTION GENERAL-7

### *Casebooks and Frequently Cited Authorities*

---

1. Casebooks of authorities must be filed when three or more cases will be relied upon.
2. Casebooks of authorities must include only the cases upon which counsel intend to rely in argument. The passages to be relied upon are to be highlighted, underlined, or sidelined in all copies.
3. The Court does not require the parties to include in their casebooks the authorities listed in Appendix A of this Practice Direction.
4. Where a party intends to rely on one of the listed authorities, they need only include the citation and the relevant passage(s) in their casebook.
5. All possible efforts should be made to ensure that the authorities provided to the Court by one party do not duplicate authorities provided by the other party or parties. Counsel are encouraged to exchange casebook indexes to avoid duplication.
6. The parties are encouraged to submit joint casebooks whenever possible.
7. Casebooks must have a cover page indicating by whom they are filed. A joint casebook must have a cover page indicating that it is a joint casebook. When filing one or two cases, there must be a cover page indicating by whom they are filed.
8. Casebooks must:
  - (a) be legibly reproduced on 8 ½ x 11 paper, single-sided or double-sided, with one page of authority upright on each page or side of a page;
  - (b) have a tab for each case (either numerical or by letters);
  - (c) include an index;
  - (d) include page numbers of each authority, unless the page numbers are otherwise clearly shown.
9. Subject to any case management directions by a judge:
  - a) casebooks for trials, including summary trials, should be filed not later than the Friday of the week preceding the trial; and

- b) casebooks for applications should be filed not later than 24 hours prior to the commencement of the hearing.
10. Casebooks will be destroyed or returned to a party at the conclusion of the matter for which it was created (application, trial, or appeal).

Duncan C.J.  
June 23, 2021

## Appendix A

### Civil and Administrative

*Alberta (Information & Privacy Commissioner) v. Alberta Teachers' Association*, 2011 SCC 61, 2011 CSC 61 (judicial review/révision judiciaire)

*Club Resorts Ltd. v. Van Breda*, 2012 SCC 17, 2012 CSC 17 (*forum conveniens*, real and substantial connection/lien réel et substantiel)

*Baker v. Canada (Minister of Citizenship and Immigration)*, [1999] 2 S.C.R. 817 (procedural fairness)

*Committee for Justice and Liberty v. Canada (National Energy Board)*, [1978] 1 S.C.R. 369 (reasonable apprehension of bias)

*Dagenais v. Canadian Broadcasting Corp.*, [1994] 3 S.C.R. 835 (publication bans)

*Dunsmuir v. New Brunswick*, 2008 SCC 9 (judicial review)

*Housen v. Nikolaisen*, 2002 SCC 33 (appellate review)

*Hryniak v. Mauldin*, 2014 SCC 7 (summary judgment)

*Hunt v. Carey Canada Inc.*, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 959 (strike pleadings, no reasonable cause of action)

*Inspiration Management Ltd. v. McDermid St. Lawrence Ltd.* (1989), 36 B.C.L.R. (2d) 202 (summary trial)

*Kamloops v. Nielsen*, [1984] 2 S.C.R. 2 (duty of care)

*Queen v. Cognos Inc.*, [1993] 1 S.C.R. 87 (negligent misrepresentation)

*R.v. Mentuck*, 2001 SCC 76 (publication bans)

*Rizzo & Rizzo Shoes Ltd. (Re)*, [1998] 1 S.C.R. 27 (statutory interpretation)

*R.J.R.-MacDonald Inc. v. Canada (Attorney General)*, [1994] 1 S.C.R. 311 (interlocutory injunctions)

*Wewaykum Indian Band v. Canada*, 2003 SCC 45 (reasonable apprehension of bias)

*Whiten v. Pilot Insurance Co.*, 2002 SCC 18 (punitive damages)

## Family

*Bracklow v. Bracklow*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 420 (spousal support)

*Gordon v. Goertz*, [1996] 2 S.C.R. 27 (variation of custody/access)

*Kerr v. Baranow*, 2011 SCC 10 (spousal support, division of assets – common law context)

*Miglin v. Miglin*, 2003 SCC 24 (separation agreements)

*Moge v. Moge*, [1992] 3 S.C.R. 813 (spousal support)

*D.B.S. v. S.R.G.*, 2006 SCC 37 (retroactive child support)

## Aboriginal

*Beckman v. Little Salmon/Carmacks First Nation*, 2010 SCC 53

*Calder v. British Columbia (Attorney General)*, [1973] S.C.R. 313

*Delgamuukw v. British Columbia*, [1997] 3 S.C.R. 1010

*Haida Nation v. British Columbia (Minister of Forests)*, 2004 SCC 73

*Mikisew Cree First Nation v. Canada (Minister of Canadian Heritage)*, 2005 SCC 69

*Rio Tinto Alcan Inc. v. Carrier Sekani Tribal Council*, 2010 SCC 43

*Taku River Tlingit First Nation v. British Columbia (Project Assessment Director)*, 2004 SCC 74

*Tsilhqot'in Nation v. British Columbia*, 2014 SCC 44

## Criminal and regulatory

*Hunter v. Southam Inc.*, [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145 (*Charter* s. 8)

*Palmer v. The Queen*, [1980] 1 S.C.R. 759 (fresh evidence)

*R. v. B. (K.G.)*, [1993] 1 S.C.R. 740 (hearsay)

*R. v. Biniaris*, 2000 SCC 15 (unreasonable verdict)

*R. v. Gladue*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 688

*R. v. Grant*, 2009 SCC 32 (s. 24(2) *Charter*)

*R. v. Hall*, 2002 SCC 64 (tertiary ground in bail)

*R. v. Ipeelee*, 2012 SCC 13 (*Gladue* principles)

*R. v. Khelawon*, 2006 SCC 57 (hearsay)

*R. v. M.(C.A.)*, [1996] 1 S.C.R. 500 (proportionality)

*R. v. Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 (s. 1 *Charter*)

*R. v. Proulx*, 2000 SCC 5 (conditional sentences)

*R. v. Rowbotham* (1988), 25 O.A.C. 321 (appointment of state-funded counsel)

*R. v. Sheppard*, 2002 SCC 26 (sufficiency of reasons)

*R. v. St-Cloud*, 2015 SCC 27 (bail tertiary ground)

*R. v. Stinchcombe*, [1991] 3 S.C.R. 326 (disclosure obligation)

*R. v. W.(D.)*, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 742