



## IN THE TERRITORIAL COURT OF YUKON

---

PRACTICE DIRECTION  
DOC-2

*Casebooks and Frequently  
Cited Authorities*

---

Books of authorities must be filed when more than five cases will be relied upon.

Books of authorities must include only the cases upon which counsel intend to rely in argument. The passages to be relied upon are to be highlighted, underlined or sidelined in all copies.

The Court does not require the parties to include in their casebooks the authorities in the list attached to this Practice Direction. Where a party intends to rely on one of the listed authorities, they need only include the citation and the relevant passage(s) in their casebook.

All possible efforts should be made to ensure that the authorities provided to the Court by one party do not duplicate authorities provided by the other party or parties. Counsel are encouraged to exchange casebook indices to avoid duplication.

The parties are encouraged to submit joint casebooks whenever possible.

Books of authorities must:

- have a cover page indicating by whom they are filed. A joint casebook must have a cover page indicating that it is a joint casebook;
- be legibly reproduced on 8 ½ x 11 paper, single-sided or double-sided, with one page of authority upright on each page or side of a page;
- have a tab for each case (either numerical or by letters), and;
- include an index.

Books of authorities should be filed no later than 4:00 p.m. two days before the matter is to be heard. Highlighted cases or casebooks may be emailed to the Trial Coordinator ([TC.TrialCoordinator@yukoncourts.ca](mailto:TC.TrialCoordinator@yukoncourts.ca)), however a hard copy of the material will still be required by the court at the hearing and must be filed with the court registry, unless the presiding judge advises otherwise.

Counsel may, in their discretion, limit the photocopying of an unduly long case to the specific passage or passages upon which they rely, which are to be highlighted, together with the headnote.

Chief Judge K. Ruddy  
April 6, 2018

## Authorities

- Hunter v. Southam Inc.*, [1984] 2 S.C.R. 145 (*Charter* s. 8)
- R. v. B. (K.G.)*, [1993] 1 S.C.R. 740 (hearsay)
- R. v. Cody*, 2017 SCC 31 (s. 11(b))
- R. v. Corbett*, [1988] 1 S.C.R. 670 (admissibility of accused's criminal record)
- R. v. Cunningham*, 2010 SCC 10 (withdrawal of counsel)
- R. v. Dawson*, [1996] 3 S.C.R. 783 (cross-examine ITO affiant at prelim)
- R. v. Debot*, [1989] 2 S.C.R. 1140 (confidential informer)
- R. v. Fisher*, [1997] S.J. No. 530 (Q.B.) (court-appointed counsel)
- R. v. Gardiner*, [1982] 2 S.C.R. 368 (standard of proof, aggravating facts at sentence)
- R. v. Garofoli*, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 1421 (confidential informer, cross-examine ITO affiant at trial)
- R. v. Gladue*, [1999] 1 S.C.R. 688
- R. v. Grant*, 2009 SCC 32 (*Charter* s. 24(2))
- R. v. Jordan*, 2016 SCC 27 (*Charter* s. 11(b))
- R. v. Ipeelee*, 2012 SCC 13 (*Gladue* principles)
- R. v. Kang-Brown*, (*Charter* s. 8, sniffer dog)
- R. v. Khan*, [1990] 2 S.C.R. 531 (hearsay)
- R. v. Khelawon*, 2006 SCC 57 (hearsay)
- R. v. Kienapple*, [1975] 1 S.C.R. 729 (rule against multiple convictions)
- R. v. M.(C.A.)*, [1996] 1 S.C.R. 500 (proportionality)
- R. v. McNeil*, 2009 SCC 3 (disclosure obligations, police misconduct)
- R. v. Mills*, [1999] 3 S.C.R. 668 (disclosure of complainant records, sexual offences)
- R. v. Mohan*, [1994] 2 S.C.R. 9 (admissibility of expert evidence)
- R. v. O'Connor*, [1995] 4 S.C.R. 411 (disclosure, third-party records)
- R. v. Oakes*, [1986] 1 S.C.R. 103 (*Charter* s. 1)
- R. v. Oickle*, 2000 SCC 38 (confessions)
- R. v. Prosper*, [1994] 3 S.C.R. 236 (*Charter* s. 10(b))
- R. v. Proulx*, 2000 SCC 5 (conditional sentences)
- R. v. Rowbotham* (1988), 25 O.A.C. 321 (appointment of state-funded counsel)
- R. v. Scopelliti* (1981), 63 C.C.C. (2d) 481 (admissibility prior acts of violence of victim)
- R. v. Sinclair*, 2010 SCC 35 (confessions)
- R. v. Singh*, 2007 SCC 48 (confessions)

*R. v. St. Cloud*, 2015 SCC 27 (bail tertiary ground)  
*R. v. Stinchcombe*, [1991] 3 S.C.R. 326 (disclosure obligation)  
*R. v. Suberu*, 2009 SCC 33 (*Charter* ss. 9 and 10(b))  
*R. v. Taylor* (1992), 11 O.R. (3d) 323 (C.A.)(fitness to stand trial)  
*R. v. Tessling*, 2004 SCC 67  
*R. v. U.(F.J.)*, [1995] 3 S.C.R 764 (hearsay)  
*R. v. W.(D.)*, [1991] 1 S.C.R. 742 (credibility)  
*R. v. White*, 2008 YKSC 34 (sentencing range, sexual assault)